# CAPITAL PROJECT APPRAISAL FORM NEW BIDS 2017/18

1) Capital Scheme Name	Access Road Improvements to Bicester Leisure Centre, Bicester Community College and Technology Studio						
2) Service Head	Ian Davies						
3) Service Manager	Sharon Bolton						
4) Portfolio Holder	Councillor George Reynolds						
5) Driver (select)	ine of the proposed project and how it is intended to be						
		Health & Safety					
6) Finances		-					
	Funding required	£ 32,562					
	Partners/Match Funding	£ 32,562					
	Grant Funding	£					
	Borrowing Required	£ £ £ 65,124					
		Statutory Health & Safety  £ 32,562 £ 32,562 £ £ £ £ £ 65,124   to Cherwell District Council leading to Bicester Leisure St. Mary's School, the District atted with the Repair and leas now deteriorated with rfacing.  Las now deteriorated with rfacing.  Luncil by Silica Associates with wed by all parties.  Inty Council however due to buncil will be involved in					
As part of a conveyance of dated 30 <sup>th</sup> March 1990 recentre Bicester School, E Council are required to compare the access road has prevaling pot holes/uneven sufficiently the specification for the second that the product of the second has prevaled by the specification for the second has prevaled by the se	from Oxfordshire County Council to garding the shared access road lead sicester Technology Studio and St. Montribute 50% of the costs associate	Cherwell District Council ding to Bicester Leisure Mary's School, the District d with the Repair and now deteriorated with cing.  il by Silica Associates with by all parties.  Council however due to					
	ot prevented from attending the Leis						

#### 9) Corporate Priority the proposal will address

Outline how the proposal will address the corporate priorities.

Requirement to meet obligations under the conveyance agreement with Oxfordshire County Council.

Links to the Corporate priority for Safe, Healthy and Thriving Communities for accessible leisure facilities.

#### 10) Implications of not undertaking the Project

The implications to the Authority/Service of not undertaking the project e.g. failure to meet statutory responsibilities, reduction in service provision etc.

Breach obligations of conveyance agreement with Oxfordshire County Council.

A failure to address the condition of the access road could affect access to the Leisure
Centre and therefore have a negative effect on participation targets and cause
Authority Default within the leisure operator contract with Parkwood Leisure.

#### 11) Efficiency Savings/Value for Money

Will the scheme contribute to the Council's requirement to demonstrate that we are improving value for money in the services provided? Please give details of possible revenue savings, income generation and/or associated costs (e.g. maintenance).

Red	luction	in	revenue	minor	repair	and	mai	inten	ance	costs
-----	---------	----	---------	-------	--------	-----	-----	-------	------	-------

	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Revenue cost of scheme			
Income generation opportunities			
Cost of borrowing			
Revenue savings			
Net Revenue Impact of Scheme			

#### 12) Identification and Assessment of Risk in undertaking the Project

What risks have been considered and how would they be mitigated?

The District Council are not directly managing the works, but have agreed the scope of works and specification.

There are 'operational' risks which will need to be discussed prior to any works taking place as any partial closures for access to the Leisure Centre will impact on the Council's contract with Legacy Leisure (Parkwood operator of CDC Leisure Centres).

Due to the number of parties potentially affected by these works there has been partial mitigation by agreeing that works would take place during the school summer holidays to reduce traffic flow and congestion.

#### **Capital Bid 001**

## 13) Other Authorities, Departments, Partnerships or Bodies involved and funding available

Details of other bodies involved in the scheme and the form of their contribution e.g. financial (including grants), practical, advisory etc.

Organisation	Funding Contribution E Contribution Contribution E Contribution E Contribution Conditions Condition			
1.Bicester School, Bicester Technology Studio and St. Mary's Primary School	32,562			
2.				
3.				
4.				
4) Estimate of Asset Life 15 years		_		
•				
5) Category (please tick as appropriate	te) Enhance	ement of Existing Asset		
6) Componentisation Will the asset have 2 or more			iul economic	
lives? If so please provide data	. !!-   - £	to values and lives		
lives? If so, please provide deta	alls of component	s, values and lives.		
n/a	alls of component	s, values and lives.		
	alls of component	s, values and lives.		
	alls of component	s, values and lives.		

- **17) ALL SCHEMES –** please complete the Profile of Capital Spend and Financing on the following page.
- **18) ICT Projects –** please also complete ANNEX 1 & ANNEX 2.

### **Profile of Capital Spend and Financing**

Capital Expenditure	2017/18								
Description of Cost	Account Code	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Consultation									0
Procurement									0
Contractors			32,562						32,562
Professional Fees									0
Construction Services (internal)									0
Equipment									0
Other Capital Costs									0
Initial Purchase of Vehicle or Plant									0
IT - Software									0
IT - Hardware									0
Grants									0
Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
External Financing  Description:-									
Capital grants and contributions (please specify)									0
Partnership Funding (please specify)									0
Other									0
Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total CDC Funding		0	32,562	0	0	0	0	0	32,562